

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/543,152	07/22/2005	Friedhelm Piepenstock	Picpenstock	2633	
25889 WILLIAM CO	7590 06/20/2007 LLARD		EXAMINER		
COLLARD & ROE, P.C. 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/543,152	PIEPENSTOCK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas J. Williams	3683	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 July 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to lead to lead accepted or b)☐ objected to lead in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/22/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Acknowledgment is made in the receipt of the information disclosure statement and priority papers filed July 22, 2005 and the oath filed February 22, 2006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the parting plane" in line 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the winding pitch" in 7. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 6. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the wall" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3,084,926 to Lemelson.

Art Unit: 3683

Re-claim 1, Lemelson discloses a screw compression spring, characterized in that sections of the windings that are adjacent the parting plane have a lesser incline than a winding pitch, see figure 2 noting the flat sections of the screw compression spring. Furthermore, the screw compression spring is injection molded, even though this limitation cannot be relied upon for patentability since a product-by-process claim can only rely upon structural limitations for patentability.

Re-claims 2 and 3, the incline is slight or essentially zero, note the flatness of the incline.

Re-claim 4, the flat region steps up into an incline.

9. Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 2002-013569 to Omura.

Re-claims 1-4, see figure 4 and abstract, the screw compression spring has sections of the windings adjacent the parting plane that are essentially flat.

10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 14,287 to Knudsen.

Re-claims 1-4, Knudsen discloses a screw compression spring with essentially flat regions of the windings, see figure 1.

## Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Raspet, Trame et al., Piepenstock and Loc et al. are all believed to anticipate at least claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,152 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

12. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas Williams whose telephone number is 571-272-7128. The examiner can normally be reached on Wednesday-Friday from 6:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached at 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-6584.

**TJW** 

June 5, 2007

THOMAS J. WILLIAMS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Thomas William

AU 3683 6-5-07